WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

I	Date:	3-67-16	Inspector: 1	5 N	Jan _	
Τ	ime:_	7.30	Weather Conditions:	locky		3
Г			×	Yes	No	Notes
C	CRL	andfill Integrity I	nspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		Y == 2000 Years
	1.	Was bulging, s	iding, rotational movement or			
			ment observed on the	İ		
		sideslopes or u	pper deck of cells containing		1/	ī
L		CCR? .				
	2.		s observed within the cells		1	
		containing CCI	or within the general landfill			1
			represent a potential disruption			
H		to ongoing CCI	management operations?		- TOP	
	3.		s observed within the cells or		/	
		within the gene	ral landfill operations that			
		represent a pote	ntial disruption of the safety of			
_		the CCR manag	ement operations.			
CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))						
	4.	Was CCR recei	ved during the reporting		1./	
			er is no, no additional			
		information req			1	
	5.	Was all CCR co	nditioned (by wetting or dust			
		suppresants) pri	or to delivery to landfill?			
	6.	If response to q	nestion 5 is no, was CCR			
		conditioned (we	tted) prior to transport to			f
		landfill working	face, or was the CCR not			
		susceptable to f	igitive dust generation?			
	7.	Was CCR spilla	ge observed at the scale or on			
		landfill access r	pads?			į
	8.	Was CCR fugit	ve dust observed at the			
			answer is yes, describe	8)) 25)
		corrective action	n measures below.			
	9.		R fugitive dust control			
		measures effect	ve? If the answer is no,			
			nended changes below.			
	10.	Were CCR fugi	ive dust-related citizen			
		complaints rece	ved during the reporting			
		period? If the a	nswer is yes, answer question			
	11.	Were the citizen	complaints logged?			
A	Additional Notes:			8 W		
					50%, 465-412-55-5	
		• ,•				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\C CR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015.xlsx